

Option Pricing

Quantitative Finance

2022-2023



Outline

- 1. Risk (definition and propoerties)
- 2. Options
- 3. Options strategies
- 4. Put-call parity
- 5. Options pricing



Rewind

Option strategies Payoff and profits



Rewind

A two-year European call option at 90 Euros strike is quoted at 5 Euros and a two-year European call option at 95 Euros strike is quoted at 5.1 Euros. Design a trading strategy to lock profits (as the two prices allow arbitrage!).



Upper bounds on prices $e \leq S(a)$ $\int C \leq S(a)$

Imagine that $\mathcal{L} > \mathcal{L}_{o}$) we show that this leeds to an arbitrage portfolio, and therefore if means that the call option is overpriced, therefore I'm going to sell it:

$$(4 = v)$$

Sell this option and receive, c
Buy the underlying stock
 $(C - S(o))$



Upper bounds on prices $e \leq S(a)$ $[\leq S(a)]$

4 =
$$v$$

• Sell this option and receive c
• Buy the underlying stock
($c - s(o)$)
• $(c - s(o))$
• $(c - s(o))$
• $(c - s(o)) e^{RT} + S(t) > 0$
• $S(T) > K$: The option is exercised
Profit: $(c - s(o)) e^{RT} + K > 0$



Lower bounds on prices $\mathcal{C} \gg \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}) - \mathcal{K} \mathcal{Q}^{-RT}$

Consider the folowing where: c=3, S=20; K=18, R=10% (per year); T=1 year.

So we show that this leads to na arbitrage possibility.

$$S(a) - Ke^{-RT} = 3,71$$

with $C = 3 < 3,71 = 0$ $C < S(a) - Ke^{-RT}$

Means that the option is underpriced then you want to buy it



Lower bounds on prices $e \gg \int (a) - |\zeta_{g}|^{-RT}$

4:0 Short-Sell the stock and receive $S_{(0)}=20$ Using that money you buy the call option You still have: $S_{(0)} - C = 20 - 3 = 17$ $L_N TAVEST iN THE BANK$

-
$$S(\tau) < 18$$
 You do not exercise the option
Profit: $18, 79 - S(\tau) > 0$

• S(T) > 18; The option is exercised Profit: 18.79 - 18 = 0.79 > 0



Calls

The upper bound is C < S

If there are no dividend payouts, the lower bound is $C \ge max [0, S - Ke^{-rT}]$; i.e., $C \ge max [0, S - PV(K)]$





European Put Lower Bound $P > Ke^{-RT} - S(o)$

<u>What if: p < Ke^{-rT} - S?</u>					
Then, p-Ke ^{-r}	^r +S(0) < 0				
Or, -p+Ke ^{−rT}	-S(0) > 0				
	At expiration:				
<u>Today</u>	S _T >K S _T <k< td=""></k<>				
Buy put -p	0 +(K-S _T)				
Borrow +Ke ^{-rT}	-K -K				
Buy stock -S	$+S_{T}$ $+S_{T}$				
>0	>0 0				

So, if $P < Ke^{-rT} - S(0)$, an arbitrage is possible, because the trader can receive a cash inflow today, and not have to pay money in the future (in fact, in some cases, the trader receives money in the future, too.



Outline

- 1. Risk (definition and propoerties)
- 2. Options
- 3. Options strategies
- 4. Put-call parity
- 5. Options pricing



Put-call partity

Consider the following 2 portfolios: Portfolio A: you own a call option and you have <u>Ke^{-RT}</u> Portfolio B: you own a put option and a share S₍₀₎

at time T: Value of portfolio A= max(S(+)-K,O)+K = max(S(+),K)

Value of portfolio B = max(k-S(+), 0) + S(+) = max(k, S(+))

So, as value (portfolio A) = (porfolio B) whatever happens, then they initial prices (by the non-dominance principle) have to be the same



		$S_{T} > K$	S ₇ < Κ
Portfolio A	Call option	S ₇ – Κ	0
	Zero-coupon bond	К	К
	Total	S_{T}	К
Portfolio C	Put Option	0	<i>К</i> – <i>S</i> ₇
	Share	S_{T}	S _T
	Total	S _τ	К

Both are worth $max(S_{\tau}, K)$ at the maturity of the options, they must therefore be worth the same today. This means that:

$$c + Ke^{-rT} = p + S_{(0)}$$



Put-call partity

Call option:
$$g'(t) = (S(\tau) - K)^{+}$$

Put option: $g'(t) = (IL - S(t))^{+}$
 $C + IL e^{-RT} = P + S(0)$

Total amount of money in order to buy a call option and be able to exercise it

C+Ke

Total amount of money in order to buy a put option and be able to exercise it

Put-Call parity is quite relevant, and in fact tell us that we only need to price "half" of the contracts.

-



Put-call partity

We are assuming that there are no dividends distributed to the stockholders. In case you know that you will receive dividends, with present value equals to D



The put-call parity is changed, in order to take into account the dividend:

$$c + Ke^{-rT} = p + S_{(0)} - D$$



Put-call partity

Suppose that

<i>c</i> = 3	$S_0 = 31$
<i>T</i> = 0.25	r = 10%
<i>K</i> = 30	<i>D</i> = 0

What are the arbitrage possibilities when



Put-call partity

```
What if: c-p>S-Ke<sup>-rT</sup>?
Then: c-p-S+Ke<sup>-rT</sup> > 0
```

	<u>At LXPI</u>	<u>AL EXPIRATION.</u>	
<u>Today:</u>	<u>S_T<k< u=""></k<></u>	<u> </u>	
Sell call +c	0	-(<mark>¯</mark> S _т -К)	
Buy put -p	+(K-S _T)	0	
Buy stock -S	$+S_{T}$	+S _T	
Borrow <u>+Ke</u> -rT	<u>-K</u>	<u>-K</u>	
>0	0	0	

Therefore, if c-p>S-K^{-rT}, an arbitrage is possible, because the trader receives a cash inflow today, but does not have a cash out-flow in the future.

At Expiration



Put-call partity

Suppose c = 4.50, p = 2.50, S = 42, K = 40, r = 6%, T = 3 month, Ke^{-rT} = 39.40 C-P = $4.5-2.5 = 2 < S-Ke^{-rT} = 42 - 39.40 = 2.60$.

<u>Today:</u>		<u>At Expiration:</u>	
		<u>S_T=37</u>	<u>S_T=44</u>
Buy call	-4.50	_0	+4
Sell put	+2.50	- 3	0
Sell stock	+42.00	-37	-44
Lend	<u>-39.40</u>	+40	+40
	+0.60	0	0



Put-call partity

a) A European call option and an European put option on a stock that expires in one year have both a strike price of 44 Euros. The current stock price is 40 Euros and the one-year risk free interest rate is 10%. The price of the call is 10 Euros and the price of the put is 7 Euros. Design an arbitrage possibility

b) The present price of a stock is 50. The price of a European call option with strike price 47.5 and maturity 180 days is 4.375. The cost of a risk-free 1 euro bond 180 days is 0.98. a) Consider a European put option with price 1.025 (same strike price and maturity as the call option). Show that this is inconsistent with put-call parity. b) Describe how you can take advantage of this situation, creating an arbitrage possibility example



Put-call partity

- Rearrange, the basic put-call parity proposition to be -c=-S+ Ke^{-rT}-p. This says that buying a call is like borrowing to buy stock; i.e., it is like buying stock on margin. But in addition, the call owner also owns a put, providing downside protection.
- If r > 0, an at the money call is worth more than an at the money put with the same K and T.
- Given S, r, and T, then c-p is known, regardless of the bullishness or bearishness that may pervade the market.
- You can replicate the payoff from any position with the other three securities (e.g. buying a put = selling stock, lending, and buying a call).



Put-call partity

 $S = c-p+ Ke^{-rT}$ $-S = -c+p- Ke^{-rT}$ $-p = S-c- Ke^{-rT}$ $p = -S+c+ Ke^{-rT}$ $c = S+p- Ke^{-rT}$ $-c = -S-p+ Ke^{-rT}$ $Ke^{-rT} = S-c+p$ $- Ke^{-rT} = -S+c-p$

Sell stock short = write call, buy put, & borrow Buy stock = buy call, write put & lend Buy put = sell stock short, buy call, & lend Write put = buy stock, write call, & borrow Write call = sell stock short, write put, & lend Buy call = buy stock, buy put, & borrow Riskless borrowing = sell stock short, buy call, & write put Riskless lending = buy stock, write call, & buy put



Outline

- 1. Risk (definition and propoerties)
- 2. Options
- 3. Options strategies
- 4. Put-call parity
- 5. Options pricing



Main Ideas:

- A financial derivative is **defined in terms of** some underlying asset which already exists on the market.
- The derivative cannot therefore be priced arbitrarily in relation to the underlying prices if we want to avoid mispricing between the derivative and the underlying price.
- We thus want to price the derivative in a way that is **consistent** with the underlying prices given by the market.
- We are **not** trying to compute the price of the derivative in some "absolute" sense. The idea instead is to determine the price of the derivative **in terms of the market prices of the underlying assets**.



The Binomial model

The model is very easy to understand, almost all important concepts which we will study later on already appear in the binomial case, the mathematics required to analyse it is easy, and last but not least the binomial model is often used in practice.



Assumptions of the Binomial Model

• There are two (and only two) possible prices for the underlying asset on the next date. The underlying price will either:

Increase by a factor of u% (an uptick) Decrease by a factor of d% (a downtick)

- The uncertainty is that we do not know which of the two prices will be realized.
- No dividends.
- The one-period interest rate, r, is constant over the life of the option (r% per period).
- Markets are perfect (no commissions, bid-ask spreads, taxes, price pressure, etc.)



Consider a European call option, with an exercise price of 100 euro, with a maturity of 4 months. The current price of the underlying asset is 100 euro, the interest rate is 0 % and the asset may rise 6.04 % or fall 5.69 %. A binomial model is considered, with a time interval of one month. In this case, the price of the underlying asset takes the following possible values:





The Binomial Option Pricing Model

We begin with a single period.

Then, we stitch single periods together to form the Multi-Period Binomial Option Pricing Model.

The Multi-Period Binomial Option Pricing Model is extremely flexible, hence valuable; it can value American options (which can be exercised early).



Binomial Option-Pricing Model of Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein (1979):

- Consider One-Period Call Option On Stock XYZ
- Current Stock Price S₀
- Strike Price K
- Option Expires Tomorrow, $C_1 = Max [S_1 K, 0]$
- What Is Today's Option Price C₀?



Suppose $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ is A Bernoulli Trial:



$$P \quad \text{Max} [uS_0 - K, 0] == C_u$$

$$C_1 \quad Max [dS_0 - K, 0] == C_d$$



Now What Should $C_0 = f(\cdot)$ Depend On?

• Parameters: S_0 , K, u, d, p, r

Consider Portfolio of Stocks and Bonds Today:

- Δ Shares of Stock, \$*B* of Bonds
- Total Cost Today: $V_0 == S_0 \Delta + B$
- Payoff V₁ Tomorrow:



え三(1+几)



Now Choose Δ and **B** So That:

$$V_{1} \xrightarrow{p} uS_{0}\Delta + rB = C_{u}$$

$$V_{1} \xrightarrow{q} dS_{0}\Delta + rB = C_{d}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{*} = (C_{u} - C_{d})/(u - d)S_{0}$$

$$B^{*} = (uC_{d} - dC_{u})/(u - d)r$$

Then It Must Follow That:

$$C_0 = V_0 = S_0 \Delta^* + B^* = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{r-d}{u-d} C_u + \frac{u-r}{u-d} C_d \right)$$



Suppose $C_0 > V_0$

- Today: Sell C_0 , Buy V_0 , Receive $C_0 V_0 > 0$
- Tomorrow: Owe C_1 , But V_1 is Equal To C_1 !

Suppose $C_0 < V_0$

- Today: Buy C_0 , Sell V_0 , Receive $V_0 C_0 > 0$
- Tomorrow: Owe V_1 , But C_1 is Equal To V_1 !

 $C_0 = V_0$, Otherwise Arbitrage Exists

$$C_0 = V_0 = S_0 \Delta^* + B^* = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{r-d}{u-d} C_u + \frac{u-r}{u-d} C_d \right)$$



Note That *p* Does Not Appear Anywhere!

- Can disagree on *p*, but must agree on option price
- If price violates this relation, arbitrage!
- A multi-period generalization exists:

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{*k} (1-p^*)^{n-k} \operatorname{Max}[0, u^k d^{n-k} S_0 - K]$$
$$p^* \equiv \frac{r-d}{u-d}$$

Continuous-time/continuous-state version (Black-Scholes/Merton):

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = rC$$

$$C(S,T) = \operatorname{Max} [S - K, 0]$$

$$C(0,t) = 0$$

Example

$$S_0 = 40$$
, $u = 25\%$, $d = -10\%$, $r = 5\%$, $K = 45$,

What are the values of Δ , B, and C₀?

What if $C_0 = 3$? What if $C_0 = 1$?



Let's go for another model description:

Running time is denoted by the letter t, and by definition we have two points in time, t = 0 ("today") and t = 1 ("tomorrow"). In the model we have two assets: a **bond** and a **stock**. At time t the price of a bond is denoted by B_t , and the price of one share of the stock is denoted by S_t . Thus we have two price processes B and S.

The bond price process is deterministic and given by: $B_0 = 1$,

The constant R is the spot rate for the period, and we can also interpret the existence of the bond as the existence of a bank with R as its rate of interest.

The stock price process is a stochastic process, and its dynamical behaviour is described as follows: $S_0 = s$,





The Option Pricing 'Process'

Portfolios and arbitrage

We will study the behaviour of various portfolios on the (B,S) market, and to this end we define a portfolio as a vector h = (x,y). The interpretation is that x is the number of bonds we hold in our portfolio, whereas y is the number of units of the stock held by us.

Now let *h* be a portfolio, assume that at time t=0, this portfolio is composed by y_0 Shares of stock, x_0 of Bonds where $x_0 = -y_0 S_0$

•
$$h_0 = (-y_0 S_{0,y_0}) \implies \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = C$$

Now we see how the portfolio evolves.

At time T=1

$$h_{1}\left(-\gamma_{0} S_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{7}\left(-\gamma_{0} S_{0}\left(1+\pi\right), \gamma_{0} S_{0} h\right)$$

$$\int_{3}\left(-\gamma_{0} S_{0}\left(1+\pi\right), \gamma_{0} S_{0} d\right)$$



The Option Pricing 'Process'

$$V_{1}^{h} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{20}S_{0}(1+\pi) + \frac{1}{20}S_{0}M \\ -\frac{1}{20}S_{0}(1+\pi) + \frac{1}{20}S_{0}M \end{cases}$$

$$= 5040 \int_{-(1+\pi)}^{-(1+\pi)} + d(20)$$

If $y_0 > 0$ then the value of the portfolio $\sqrt[V_n]{}^h$ is positive with probability p_u and it is negative with probability p_d , meaning that this is not an arbitrage portfolio.



The Option Pricing 'Process'

If $y_0 < 0$ then ...

So far the non-arbitrage condition involves only u and d. So what is the role of p_u and p_d ? We will see that the result of the the price of the contingent claim do not depend on p_u and p_d .

Some consequences of: Oくんくヘチアとん

It follows that we may write 1+ r as: 1 + r = $q_u \cdot u + q_d \cdot d$,

where q_u , $q_d \ge 0$ and $q_u + q_d = 1$

In particular we see that the weights q_u and q_d can be interpreted as probabilities for a new probability measure Q



IJĬ

So:
$$1+\pi = q \cdot M + (1-q) d$$
 with $q \notin (0,1)$
this mean that $Q = (q, 1-q)$, this is probability
FUNCTION AND THIS is UNIQUE:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4\pi = 9_{u} + 9_{d} d = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 9_{u} = \frac{(1+\pi) - d}{w - d}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 9_{u} + 9_{d} = 1$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 9_{u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

$$Q = \left(\frac{n+n-d}{n-d}, \frac{n-(1+n)}{n-d}\right) = D$$

$$\frac{MARTINGALE}{PROBABIGHY}$$

$$\frac{TÉCNICO}{LISBOA}$$

Due to the definition of Q, it follows that:





$$S_0 = \frac{1}{1+\pi} E^0(S_n) (=) E^0(S_n) = (n+\pi)S_0$$

It means that under Q (martingale probability) today's stock price as the discounted expected value of tomorrow's stock price.



Imagine that $x=g(S_T)$ is an option, with contract function g

$$Q = (9 \cup 19 a) : Manni NHALE
PROBARBINISH$$

How do we price this contingent claim?

By two ways:

- By replicating portfolio
- By risk neutral measure (Q)

Replicating Portfolio

We say that *h* is a replicating portfolio of *x* (derivative, contingent claim, the option) if:

So how do we build this replicating portfolio?

How do we price this contingent claim?

$$S_{0} \xrightarrow{X} S_{0,u} g(s_{0,u}) \qquad h = \left\{ \left\{ x_{1}, y_{1} \right\}, t \in \left\{ 0, 1 \right\} \right\} such that$$

$$S_{0} \xrightarrow{X} S_{0,d} g(s_{0,d}) \qquad V_{1}^{h} = g(s_{1}), \quad i.e: ho = (x, y)$$

$$X$$

then'.
*(1+r)
$$\chi$$
 + Yuso = g(son)
*(1+r) χ + Yuso = g(son)
Solving For $\chi_{,Y}$
 $\chi_{,Y}$

$$(1+r)x + ydso = g(sod) + y = \frac{1}{so} \frac{g(sou) - g(sod)}{u - d}$$

Because *d*<1+r<*u* this system as one and only one solution

ie, you start with

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{1+r} \frac{\log(sod) - dg(sou)}{\log(sod)}$$
 in the bank

and with $Y = \frac{1}{50} \quad \frac{9(504) - 9(50d)}{M - d}$ Stocks Wich REANS THAT YOUR INITIAL INVESTMENT IS: 7C + 450Hen $TT(0; X) = V_0^h = 7C + 450$

Example U = 1, Z d = 0, S R = 0 CMLOPTIONK = 110



How to price contracts with more then 1 time-step?



How to price contracts with more then 1 time-step?



 $C_{2}=0$ | VAuve = 0 $Y_{2}=0$ | VAuve = 0

How to price contracts with more then 1 time-step? 16.996 100 б 80 64 0 $7C_{3} = \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{16,996}{014} = -33,992$ 33,993 + ghzh9×100



$$E^{Q}[x] = E^{Q}[g(s_{n})] = g^{(s_{0}M)}g_{m} + g^{(s_{0}M)}g_{d}$$

= 10 x o 15 + 0 x o 15 = 5

i.e. the price of an option X, with contract function g(St) is equal to:

$$(\Lambda + \pi)^{-} E^{Q} [Q(S_{t})]$$

Let's see for 2 time-step?



In general if we have T time steps, the price of a derivative X will be the following:

$$TT(0,X) = (1+R)^{T} E^{\mathbb{Q}} [g(s_t)]$$



In the binomial model we are assuming that r, u and d keep constant for all time steps. But we can relax that assumption.



Fix R'.

$$T(o', x) = (n+R)^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{1} 9(s_0 n^k d^{n-1k}) (\frac{1}{k}) \times 9^{k} 9d^{1-k}$$

$$x q^{k} q d^{1-k}$$

$$q_{k} = \frac{1+R-d}{k-d} \quad , \qquad q_{k} = \frac{U-(n+R)}{k-d}$$

With
$$R_1 = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon_1)} \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon_2)} \times \left[\frac{1}{3} (s_0 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + q_0^2 (s_0 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + q_0^2 (s_0 u^2) (q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + q_0^2 \times q_0^2) + \frac{1}{3} (s_0 u^2) (q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + q_0^2) + \frac{1}{3} (s_0 u^2) (q_0^2 \times q_0^2) + \frac{1}{3} (s_0 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 \times q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2 u^2) q_0^2 + \frac{1}{3} (s_0^2$$

In the binomial tree we are able to "plot" all possible realizations of the stock price from time 0 to time T. Each possible realization is called a "sample path".



Under the binomial model we are able to price any contract. But we assume a particularly simple model:

- Discretization of the time
- The price, at each time step, is only allowed to go up by u, or go down, by d

What appends if we let:

- Time lag tends to zero?
- What is the consequence in the variations of the stock price?

In fact we want to be able to derive a model in continuous time, with continuous state space





Risk NOWTRON FORTUNDO

tools: STOCASTIC CALCULOUS

Suppose that in each year the cost of a security either goes up by a factor of 2 or down by a factor of 1/2 (i.e., u = 2 and d = 0.5). Assume a continuously compounding euro interest rate of 4%.

- a) If the initial price of the security is 100, determine the non-arbitrage cost of an European call option to purchase the security at the end of two periods for a strike price of 150.
- b) Assume that your option is American. Should you exercise early?

2. exercise

Consider a option such that the payoff at the maturity is given by $\max(0.5(S_0 + S_T) - K, 0)$, where $S_0(S_T)$ denotes the stock price at initial time (maturity) and K is the strike price. Assume a binomial model, with $S_0 = 40$, u = 1.3, d = 0.8, K = 45 and 2 years maturity. The interest rate changes with time, in the following way: in the first year it is 3% and in the second year it is 4%.

- a) Derive the price of this option.
- b) Assume that the option can be exercised at the end of the first year or at maturity. When will it be optimal to exercise?

Suppose that c_i is the price of an European call option with maturity T and strike price K_i , with i = 1, 2, 3. Assume that $K_1 < K_2 < K_3$, with $K_2 = \frac{K_1 + K_3}{2}$. Consider a portfolio consisting of one long position with strike price K_1 , one long position with strike price K_3 and two short positions with strike price K_2 , each.

a) Give the payoff at the maturity date T of this portfolio.

b) Prove that $c_2 \leq 0.5(c_1 + c_3)$.

4. exercise

A one-month European put option on a non-dividend-paying stock is currently selling for $2.5 \in$. The stock price is $47 \in$, the strike price is $50 \in$, and the risk-free interest rate (monthly compounded) is 6% per annum. What opportunities are there for an arbitrageur?

A European call and put option on the same security both expire in three months, both have a strike price of $20 \in$, and both are traded for the price $3 \in$. If the nominal continuously compounded interest rate is 10% and the stock price is currently $25 \in$, identify an arbitrage.

6. exercise

Suppose that T = 2, and that the initial price of the stock is $S_0 = 100$. Assume that it the price may go up by a factor u = 1.5 or by a factor d = 1.1, and that the strike price, K, is equal to 150. Assume a risk-free rate of 20%. Prove that the non-arbitrage price of this call is 7.16.

Consider a two-year European put-option, with a strike price of $52 \in$ on a stock whose current price is $50 \in$. We suppose that there are two time steps of one year, and in each time step the stock price either moves up, by a proportional amount of 20%, or moves down, by a proportional amount of 20%. We also suppose that the risk-free interest rate is 5% per annum (you may assume 1-year compounded).

- a) Derive the fair price of this option.
- b) Now assume that this option is an American one. Describe then what is the optimal decision. In particular, when is early-exercise optimal? Derive also the value of this put.